



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,246	12/17/2001	Scott T. Becker	ARTCP012B	8218
7590 03/28/2005		,	EXAMINER	
Albert S. Penilla			FERRIS III, FRED O	
Martine & Penilla, LLP 710 Lakeway Drive, Suite 170			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sunnyvale, CA 94087			2128	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/026,246	BECKER, SCOTT T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Fred Ferris	2128					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sany reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOstatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	17 December 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docunt copies of the priority docunt copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a copies of the certified copies of the certif	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-14 have been presented for examination based on applicant's amendment filed on 1 November 2004. Claims 1-14 remain rejected by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 1 November 2004 with respect to claims 1-14 have been fully considered.

Regarding applicant's response to drawing objections: Applicant's drawing changes filed on 1 November 2004 have been approved by the examiner. The objection to the drawings is withdrawn.

Regarding applicant's response to the objection to the specification: The examiner withdraws the objection to the specification in view of applicant's amendment to the specification filed on 1 November 2004.

Regarding applicant's response to 112(1) rejection: The examiner withdraws the 112(1) rejection in view of applicant's arguments, amendment to the specification, and clarification of issues relating to "word width" definition for pairs of bitlines filed on 1 November 2004.

Regarding applicant's response to double patenting rejection under 35

U.S.C. 101: The examiner withdraws the double patenting rejection under 35

U.S.C. 101 of claims 1-14 in view of applicant's <u>amendment to the claims</u> and arguments filed 1 November 2004.

Regarding applicant's response to obviousness type double patenting rejection:

Art Unit: 2128

The examiner withdraws the obviousness type double patenting rejection of 1-14 in view of applicant's Terminal Disclaimer filed 1 November 2004. However, the examiner has now applied new provisional obvious-type double patenting rejections to claims 1-14 in view of copending application No. 10/026,245.

Regarding applicant's response to 103(a) rejection: The examiner withdraws the 103(a) rejection in view of applicant's amendment to the claims, amendment to the specification, and arguments clarifying the issues relating to flipped core cells filed on 1 November 2004.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/026,245. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-14 of the present invention merely claim the method for designing the same device design limitations as recited in claims 1-11 of copending application 10/026,245.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. (Although the examiner notes that a notice of allowance has now been issued in application 10/026,245)

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-14 of the present invention include the same limitations and are <u>equivalent in function</u> to claims 1-11 of copending Application No.

Art Unit: 2128

10/026,245. For example, independent claim 1 of the present invention includes the following limitations that are also recited in independent claim 1 of copending application 10/026,245:

- memory core having depth defined by words
- word width defined by pairs (global/complementary) of bitlines
- core cell having complementary bitlines
- flipped core cell with flipped complementary bitlines
- core cell followed by flipped core cell pairs of global bitlines and global complementary bitlines.

The examiner notes that the claimed limitations of independent claim

1 of the present invention, are <u>nearly identical</u> in verse, and appear to be equivalent in function to the limitations of independent claim 1 of copending application 10/026,245. That is, obviously the device limitations as recited in claim 1 of copending application 10/026,245, are inclusive to the <u>method of designing</u> the memory device as recited in the present invention.

The examiner therefore asserts that it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to include the method of designing the memory device, as recited in the limitations of independent claims 1-14 of the present invention, as part of the memory device as recited in the limitations of claims 1-11 of copending Application no.

10/026,245 as noted above. Independent claim 12 and dependent claims 2-11 and 13-14 similarly recite equivalent limitations over the combined limitations of claims 2-10 and 11 of copending Application No. 10/026,245.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 571-272-3778 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3700. If attempts to reach the

Art Unit: 2128

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jean Homere can

be reached at 571-272-3780. The Official Fax Number is: (703) 872-9306

Pred Perus. Patent Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2128
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Room 5D19
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22313
Phone: (571-272-3778)
Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov
March 21, 2005

